

Date: Tue, 5 Apr 94 04:30:06 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #162
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Tue, 5 Apr 94 Volume 94 : Issue 162

Today's Topics:

FTP-able copy of AX.25 standard?
Question about ID'ing...

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Mon, 4 Apr 1994 16:47:12 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!
mrmoose@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: FTP-able copy of AX.25 standard?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

I'm too cheap (well, perhaps, "too cheap" is not an
operative phrase in ham lingo) to buy a book with
a description of AX.25. Besides I'm a regulation
kinda guy, and I would like to have a look at the
official...(drum roll, please)...

"American Radio Relay League, Inc., AX. 25 Amateur
Packet-Radio Link-Layer Protocol, Version 2.0,
October 1984 (or compatible),"

as quoted from my FTP'ed version of Part 97. I am
assuming, of course, that my copy of Part 97 is
citing the most recent revision of AX.25.

At any rate, is it around?

thanks

jb

Date: 4 Apr 94 23:00:27 GMT
From: hp-cv!hp-pcd!hpcvsnz!tomb@hplabs.hp.com
Subject: Question about ID'ing...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

The copies of FCC regulations I can easily lay my hands on are getting a bit old (yeah, I know, I ought to update them), so something I'm noticing locally on 440 has me very curious:

What are the current regulations about required identification of transmissions from repeaters? Is there anything band-specific in them?

It appears that several local repeaters (including what one might call unidirectional cross-band repeaters, used to link remote 2m receivers to the 2m repeater they serve) don't ever ID, and somehow that doesn't seem right.

73,
K7ITM

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #162

